

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
FLORENCE DIVISION

Anthony Lee Neal,	)	
	)	C/A No.: 4:15-3730-GRA
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	<b>ORDER</b>
	)	(Written Opinion)
Greenville County; Major Marshall	)	
Stowers; Scotty Bodiford; Ronald D.	)	
Hollister; and John Vandermosten,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

This matter comes before this Court for review of United States Magistrate Judge Thomas Rogers' Report and Recommendation made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(d) DSC, and filed on October 28, 2015. ECF No. 9.

Plaintiff Anthony Lee Neal ("Plaintiff"), proceeding *pro se*, filed this suit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on September 16, 2015. ECF No. 1. Magistrate Judge Rogers recommends that this Court dismiss Plaintiff's claim without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. ECF No. 9 at 4. The Magistrate Judge's recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with this Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). This Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and this Court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of specific objections to the Report and Recommendation, this Court is not required to

give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, “in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir.2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note). Furthermore, failure to file specific written objections to the Report results in a party's waiver of the right to appeal from the judgment of the District Court based upon such recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 155 (1985); *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir.1985); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir.1984). In the present case, Plaintiff filed no objections to the Report and Recommendation.

After a thorough review of the record, this Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation accurately summarizes the case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation is accepted and adopted in its entirety.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Plaintiff's complaint is DISMISSED without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "G. Ross Anderson, Jr.", written over a horizontal line.

G. Ross Anderson, Jr.  
Senior United States District Judge

November 18, 2015  
Anderson, South Carolina